



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/826,931 | 04/16/2004 | Neil Barone | DAB-6 | 1605 |
| 7590 07/27/2005 Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MAH, CHUCK Y | |
| P.O. Box 2207 Wilmington, DE 19899-2207 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| ······································ | ~ 1,0,, ==0, | | 3677 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/27/200: | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/826,931 | BARONE, NEIL | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Chuck Mah | 3677 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Tr | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | · | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-70 and 12-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | · — — | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/826,931 Page 2

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 is confusing since "said one vertical wall" in fact does not have an inwardly bowed portion." Note that claim 1 defines "a spacing member secured to one of said vertical walls." The wall connecting to the spacing member has no bowed portion.

In claim 9, the distance is defined without a measure unit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Weinberger (3,758141). Figure 7 of '141 shows a U-shaped with vertical walls 52, 53, a spacing member 51, and a contact tip 56.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,931 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-10, and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morita (5,010,622) in view of Weinberger '141.

'622 (figure 15) discloses the invention as claimed but for the U-shaped base portion for attachment to the door. '622 has a mounting portion (5") with a hole and a fastener for attachment. '141 teaches a removable doorstop having a U-shaped base portion to accommodate the different thicknesses and at the same time provide an easy separation of the door check form the door (col. 3, lines 20-49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the door stop of '622 with a U-shape base portion as taught by '141 so that the door stop can be used to accommodate the different thicknesses and at the same time provide an easy separation of the door stop from the door.

As to claim 9, It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to form the distance between the walls of the U-shape base less or greater than 1 3/8 inches, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size

Application/Control Number: 10/826,931 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).*

As to claim 10, "a period of non-use" is a broad term including the time during assembling or making. Hole 5a of '622 meets the limitation.

As to claim 12, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the spacing member round shape or whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47.

As to claims 14 and 17, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to mount the door stop around the bottom edge of the door, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70*.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Mah whose telephone number is (571)272-7059. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on (571)272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chuck Mah Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3677

CM